arXiv:1207.4045v1 [math.RT] 17 Jul 2012

THEOREMS, PROBLEMS AND CONJECTURES

Tewodros Amdeberhan

Department of Mathematics
Tulane University
New Orleans, LA 70118
tamdeber@tulane.edu

Abstract. These notes are designed to offer some codicils (perhaps new) to related work, a list of problems and conjectures seeking (preferably) combinatorial proofs. The main items are Eulerian polynomials and hook/contents of tableau, mostly on the latter. Formulas analogues to or in the spirit of works by Han, Nekrasov-Okounkov and Stanley are distributed throughout. Concluding remarks are provided at the end in hopes of directing the interested researcher, properly.

Notations

$p(n)$ = number of unrestricted partitions of n
S_n = the symmetric group of permutation on $\{1,2,\dots,n\}$
$Inv(S_n)=\{\pi\in S_n:\pi^2=1\}$ = involutions
$\begin{bmatrix} n\\ k\end{bmatrix}$ =*unsigned* Stirling numbers of the first kind
$S(n,k)$ = Stirling numbers of the second kind
$\widehat{B}_n(z):=\sum_{j=0}^n S(n,j)$ = Bell polynomials
$\lambda\vdash n$ means λ is a unrestricted partition of n; λ^t = conjugate partition to λ
$L(\lambda)$ = length (number of parts) of a partition λ.
$\mathcal{H}(\lambda)$ = all hooks of cells in λ
$c_k(\pi)$ = number of cycles of π of length k; $c_1(\pi)=tr(\pi)$ = trace of π
$\kappa(\pi)$ = number of cycles of a permutation π
$\mathcal{O}(\pi)$ = number of odd-length cycles of a permutation π
$\mathcal{E}(\pi)$ = number of even-length cycles of a permutation π
$l_k(\pi)$ = number of cycles, in $\pi\in S_n$, of length k
c_u = the content of the cell u in a partition λ
h_u = the hook length of cell u in a partition λ
a_u = arm of a hook; ℓ_u = leg of a hook (hence $h_u=a_u+\ell_u+1$)
$f_\lambda=\dim\lambda$ = number of Standard Young Tableau of shape λ
s_λ = Schur function
Partitions λ will also be written in powers $\lambda=(1^{m_1}2^{m_2}\cdots)$.

Typeset by $\mathcal{AMS}$-TEX

1 EULERIANS

The *Eulerian polynomials of type A* and type B are defined, respectively, by

$$\sum_{k\geq 0}(k+1)^n t^k = \frac{A_n(t)}{(1-t)^{n+1}}, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \sum_{k\geq 0}(2k+1)^n t^k = \frac{B_n(t)}{(1-t)^{n+1}}.$$

The following three results are easy to establish.

Lemma 1.1 *If* $A_n(t)=\sum_{j\geq 0}A_{n,j}t^j$ *and* $B_n(t)=\sum_{j\geq 0}B_{n,j}t^j$*, then the Eulerian numbers are linked by*

$$2^n A_{n,k} = \sum_{j\geq 0}\binom{n+1}{2k+1-j}A_{n,j} \qquad \textit{and} \qquad B_{n,k}=\sum_{j\geq 0}\binom{n+1}{2k-j}A_{n,j}.$$

Lemma 1.2 *The following relation intertwines type A and type B*

$$\sum_{k\geq 0}\binom{a+b}{k}B_{k,b}=\sum_{k\geq 0}\binom{a+b}{k}2^k A_{k,a-1}.$$

Lemma 1.3 *The following relates Stirling numbers of second kind with Eulerian polynomial by*

$$A_k(t)=\sum_{j=1}^{k} j!S(k,j)t^{j-1}(1-t)^{k-j}.$$

Definition 1.4 A *q-exponential function* $e(z;q)$ is defined as

$$e(z;q)=\sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{z^n}{(q;q)_n}.$$

Definition 1.5 A *q-Eulerian polynomial of type B* is defined by the generating function

$$\sum_{n\geq 1}B_n(t,q)\frac{z^n}{(q;q)_n}=\frac{(e(z;q)-e(tz;q))(e(tz;q)+te(z;q))}{e(2tz;q)-te(2z;q)}.$$

Definition 1.6 The *q-Eulerian numbers of type B* are defined by

$$B_n(t,q)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}B_{n,k}(q)t^k.$$

The next two statements reveal interesting properties of the q-Eulerians of type B.

Lemma 1.7. *We have the symmetry*

$$B_{n,k}(q)=B_{n,n-k}(q).$$

Proof. Substituting $t\to t^{-1}$ and $z\to tz$ implies $t^nB_n(t^{-1},q)=B_n(t,q)$. The claim follows. $\square$

Conjecture 1.8. *Let* $a,b\in\mathbb{P}$ *and denote* $\alpha=a+b+1$*. We have the symmetric relation*

$$\binom{\alpha}{a}_q+\sum_k\binom{\alpha}{k}_q 2^{\alpha-k}B_{k,b}(q)=\binom{\alpha}{b}_q+\sum_k\binom{\alpha}{k}_q 2^{\alpha-k}B_{k,a}(q).$$

2 HOOKS, ARMS and LEGS

Conjecture 2.1 *For a partition $\lambda \vdash n$ and its Young tableau, let h_u, a_u, ℓ_u denote the hook-length, arm and legs of a cell $u \in \lambda$. Note $h_u = a_u + \ell_u + 1$. Let $k_j(\lambda) = \#\{i : \lambda_i = j\}$. Then, we have variants linked to Nekrasov-Okounkov hook formula* [5]

$$\sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \prod_{\substack{u \in \lambda \\ a_u = 0}} \frac{h_u + t}{h_u} = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \prod_{j \geq 1} \binom{k_j + t}{k_j} = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \prod_{u \in \lambda} \frac{h_u^2 + t}{h_u^2} = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \prod_{\substack{u \in \lambda \\ \ell_u = 0}} \frac{h_u + t}{h_u}.$$

Conjecture 2.2(a) *Each of the following polynomials, in t, has only simple negative real roots*

$$P_n(t) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \prod_{u \in \lambda} \frac{h_u^2 + t}{h_u^2}.$$

Conjecture 2.2(b) *Each of the polynomials $P_n(t)$ is unimodal.*

Problem 2.2 *Denote $\alpha_1(\lambda) = \#\{u \in \lambda : h_u = 1\}$ and $b_n = \max\{\alpha_1(\lambda) : \lambda \vdash n\}$. Also that $(a; q)_\infty = (1-a)(1-aq)(1-aq^2)\cdots$. Then, we have*

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} b_n x^n = \frac{x}{1-x} \frac{(x^2; x^2)_\infty^2}{(x; x)_\infty}.$$

Lemma 2.3 *If $\lambda \vdash n$, let $f_1(\lambda) = \#\{i : \lambda_i = 1\}$ as the number of times 1 appears as a part of λ, $g_1(\lambda)$ be the number of distinct parts of λ, $d_1(\lambda) = \#\{u \in \lambda : h_u = 1\}$ as the number of times 1 appears as a hook-length of λ and $p(k) = \#\{\lambda : \lambda \vdash k\}$ denotes the number of unrestricted partitions of k . Then, the following are equal:*

$$\sum_{\lambda \vdash n} f_1(\lambda) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} g_1(\lambda) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} d_1(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} p(k).$$

Remark. Parts of Lemma 2.3 appear in Stanley's EC-1, Exercise 1.26 (1999 first ed.) or Exercise 1.80 (2nd edition).

3 UNIFYING the KNOWNS

The main purpose in this section is to exploit one single formula (next lemma) so as we connect certain known identities that enjoy hooks and contents representations. We emphasize that the hook/content sums are not claimed to have been proven by this method; those are borrowed.

Lemma 3.1 *We have the generating function*

$$(1-x)^{-t}(1-x^2)^{-\left(\frac{q-t}{2}\right)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} t^{\mathcal{O}(\pi)} q^{\mathcal{E}(\pi)}. \tag{1}$$

Proof. Standard exponential generating function techniques (see e.g. [8, eqn. (5.30)]). □

Example 3.2. Replace $q = t = tv$ in (1). The result relates to Stanley's "content Nekrasov-Okounkov" formula [7, Thm. 2.2].

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \prod_{u \in \lambda} \frac{(t + c_u)(v + c_u)}{h_u^2} = \frac{1}{(1-x)^{tv}} = \sum_{n} \frac{x^n}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} (tv)^{\kappa(\pi)}.$$

Example 3.3 Replace $q = t^2$ in (1). *Is this generating function* **new** ?

$$\sum_n x^n \sum_{\lambda\vdash n}\prod_{u\in\lambda}\frac{t+c_u}{h_u} = \frac{1}{(1-x)^t(1-x^2)^{\binom{t}{2}}} = \sum_n \frac{x^n}{n!}\sum_{\pi\in S_n} t^{\mathcal{O}(\pi)+2\mathcal{E}(\pi)}.$$

Example 3.4 Replace $x = yz, v = \frac{1}{z}$ in Example 3.2, and take the limit $z \to 0$.

$$\sum_n y^n \sum_{\lambda\vdash n}\prod_{u\in\lambda}\frac{t+c_u}{h_u^2} = e^{ty} = \sum_n \frac{y^n}{n!}\sum_{\substack{\pi\in S_n\\ \pi=1}} t^{tr(\pi)}.$$

Example 3.5 Replace $t = ab, q = b$. Then $t = a, q = 1$ and raise to the b power to get left and right-sides.

$$\sum_n \frac{x^n}{n!}\sum_{\pi\in S_n} a^{\mathcal{O}(\pi)}b^{\kappa(\pi)} = \left(\sum_n \frac{x^n}{n!}\sum_{\pi\in S_n} a^{\mathcal{O}(\pi)}\right)^b.$$

Example 3.6 Put $x = yz, t = \frac{1}{z}, q = \frac{a^2}{z^2}$ in equation (1) to get

$$(1-yz)^{-\frac{1}{z}}(1-y^2z^2)^{-\left(\frac{a^2-z}{2z^2}\right)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{y^n}{n!}\sum_{\pi\in S_n} a^{2\mathcal{E}(\pi)}z^{n-\mathcal{O}(\pi)-2\mathcal{E}(\pi)}.$$

Now, take the limit $z \to 0$ on both sides, and observe that $n = \mathcal{O}(\pi) + 2\mathcal{E}(\pi)$ iff $\pi^2 = 1$, in which case $\mathcal{E}(\pi) = c_2(\pi)$. The result relates to Han's formula [1]

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} y^n \sum_{\lambda\vdash n}\prod_{u\in\lambda}\frac{(1+a)^{h_u}+(1-a)^{h_u}}{(1+a)^{h_u}-(1-a)^{h_u}}\,\frac{a}{h_u} = e^{y+\frac{1}{2}a^2y^2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{y^n}{n!}\sum_{\pi\in Inv(S_n)} a^{2c_2(\pi)}.$$

Example 3.7 Put $q = t = \frac{1}{z}, x = yz$ in (1), so that $(1-yz)^{-\frac{1}{z}} = \sum_n \frac{y^n}{n!}\sum_{\pi\in S_n} z^{n-\kappa(\pi)}$. Now, take the limit $z \to 0$ and note $n = \kappa(\pi)$ iff $\pi = 1$. The outcome relates to the classical result

$$\sum_n \frac{y^n}{n!^2}\sum_{\lambda\vdash n} f_\lambda^2 = e^y = \sum_n \frac{y^n}{n!}\sum_{\substack{\pi\in S_n\\ \pi=1}} 1.$$

Example 3.8 Put $q = t^2 = \frac{1}{z^2}, x = yz$ in (1), then take $z \to 0$. Observe again that $n = \mathcal{O}(\pi)+2\mathcal{E}(\pi)$ iff $\pi^2 = 1$. The result relates to another classical formula

$$\sum_n \frac{y^n}{n!}\sum_{\lambda\vdash n} f_\lambda = e^{y+\frac{1}{2}y^2} = \sum_n \frac{y^n}{n!}\sum_{\pi\in Inv(S_n)} 1.$$

4 OF A DIFFERENT BREED

Often, we see $tr(\pi) = c_1(\pi)$ as an *exponent.* What if it serves as a *base* instead?

Conjecture 4.1 *We have the generating function*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{z^n}{n!}\sum_{\pi\in Inv(S_n)} tr(\pi)^k = \widehat{B}_k(z)e^{z+\frac{1}{2}z^2}.$$

Conjecture 4.2 *We have the generating function*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!} \sum_{\substack{\pi\in S_n \\ \mathcal{E}(\pi)=0}} 2^{\kappa(\pi)} \widehat{B}_{\kappa(\pi)}(z) = e^{\frac{2zx}{1-x}}.$$

Conjecture 4.3 *Interesting consequences are*

$$\frac{\sum_{\pi\in Inv(S_n)} tr(\pi)^k}{n!} = \sum_{j=0}^{k} S(k,j)\frac{\#Inv(S_{n-j})}{(n-j)!},$$

$$\sum_{\substack{\pi\in S_n \\ \mathcal{E}(\pi)=0}} 2^{\kappa(\pi)} B_{\kappa(\pi)}(z) = \sum_{\pi\in S_n} (2z)^{\kappa(\pi)} \prod_{j=1}^{n} j^{c_j(\pi)}.$$

Remark. The specialization at $z=1$ implies

$$\sum_{\substack{\lambda\vdash n \\ \mathcal{E}(\lambda)=0}} \frac{2^{L(\lambda)} B_{L(\lambda)}}{1^{m_1}3^{m_3}5^{m_5}\cdots m_1!m_3!m_5!\cdots} = \sum_{\lambda\vdash n} \frac{2^{L(\lambda)}}{m_1!m_2!m_3!\cdots}.$$

5 CHIRALS in SUPERSYMMETRY GAUGE THEORY

We start with a lemma.

Lemma 5.1 *Using the* **bra-ket** *notation, the partition function* $Z_{U(1)}$ *factorizes as*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{r} \alpha_k^r \langle Tr\ \psi^{2k}\rangle Z_{U(1)} = \left[2\binom{2r-1}{r-1}^2 q^r + \frac{r^2}{2}\binom{2r}{r}\binom{2r-4}{r-2}q^{r-1}\right]e^q.$$

Proof. In $U(1)$ supersymmertic gauge theory, there are chiral observables (Hermitian operators) Tr ψ^k, where ψ is the Higgs scalar field in the adjoint representation. The scalar correlators compute as 1-point functions $\langle \mathrm{Tr}\ \psi^{2k}\rangle$, in the parameter of instanton expansion q:

$$\langle \mathrm{Tr}\ \psi^{2k}\rangle = \frac{1}{Z_{U(1)}}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\lambda\vdash n}\frac{\mathrm{Tr}\ \psi_\lambda^{2k}}{H_\lambda^2}q^n,$$

where $H_\lambda^{-2} = \prod_{u\in\lambda} h_u^{-2}$ is the Plancherel measure $\mu(\lambda)^2$ on the space of (random) partitions (it can be regarded as the discretization of the Vandermonde measure on random matrices); Tr $\psi_\lambda^{2k} = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} 2\binom{2k}{2j}\sum_{u\in\lambda} h_u^{2j}$; and $Z_{U(1)}$ is the partition function of $U(1)$ gauge theory

$$Z_{U(1)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\lambda\vdash n}\frac{1}{H_\lambda^2}q^n.$$

If we denote $\Omega_j(n) = \sum_{\lambda\vdash n}\mu(\lambda)^2\sum_{u\in\lambda}h_u^{2j}$, then

$$\langle \mathrm{Tr}\ \psi^{2k}\rangle Z_{U(1)} = \sum_{n\geq 0}\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} 2\binom{2k}{2j}\Omega_j(n)q^n.$$

Denote $\mathcal{P}_{2m}(x) := \prod_{j=0}^{m-1}(x^2-j^2) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha_j^m x^{2j}$ with $\alpha_j^m = (-1)^{m-j} e_{m-j}\left(1^2, 2^2, \dots, (m-1)^2\right)$. Summing over all instantons, results in

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=0}^{r} \alpha_k^r \langle \mathrm{Tr}\ \psi^{2k} \rangle Z_{U(1)} &= \sum_{n\geq 0} q^n \sum_{\lambda\vdash n} \sum_{k=0}^{r} \alpha_k^r \frac{\sum_{u\in\lambda}(h_u+1)^{2k} - 2h_u^{2k} + (h_u-1)^{2k}}{\prod_{u\in\lambda} h_u^2} \\
&= \sum_{n\geq 0} q^n \sum_{\lambda\vdash n} \frac{\sum_{u\in\lambda} \mathcal{P}_{2r}(h_u+1) - 2\mathcal{P}_{2r}(h_u) + \mathcal{P}_{2r}(h_u-1)}{\prod_{u\in\lambda} h_u^2} \\
&= 2r(2r-1) \sum_{n\geq 0} q^n \sum_{\lambda\vdash n} \sum_{u\in\lambda} \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{r-2}(h_u^2-j^2)}{H_\lambda^2},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have utilized $\mathcal{P}_{2r}(x) = (x)^r \cdot (x)_r$ and the discrete "derivative" relation

$$\Delta^2 \mathcal{P}_{2r}(x) := \mathcal{P}_{2r}(x+1) - 2\mathcal{P}_{2r}(x) + \mathcal{P}_{2r}(x-1) = 2r(2r-1)\mathcal{P}_{2r-2}(x). \qquad \square$$

Corollary 5.2 *We have the combinatorial identity*

$$\frac{1}{n!}\sum_{\lambda\vdash n} f_\lambda^2 \sum_{u\in\lambda} \prod_{j=0}^{r-1}(h_u^2-j^2) = \binom{2r+1}{r+1}^2 \binom{n}{r+1} \frac{r!}{2r+1} + \binom{2r+2}{r+1}\binom{2r-2}{r-1}\binom{n}{r}\frac{(r+1)!}{8r+4}.$$

Problem 5.3 Find a combinatorial proof for Cor. 5.2.

6 SYMPLECTIC and ORTHOGONAL SEMISTANDARD λ-TABLEAUX

Recall the hook-length $h(i,j) = \lambda_i + \lambda_j^t - i - j + 1$ and content $c(i,j) = j - i$ of a cell $u = (i,j)$ of a tableaux of shape λ. Define the *symplectic content* for symplectic tableaux as

$$c_{sp}(i,j) = \begin{cases} \lambda_i + \lambda_j - i - j + 2 & \text{if } i > j \\ i + j - \lambda_i^t - \lambda_j^t & \text{if } i \leq j, \end{cases}$$

and the *orthogonal content* for orthogonal tableaux as

$$c_O(i,j) = \begin{cases} \lambda_i + \lambda_j - i - j & \text{if } i \geq j \\ i + j - \lambda_i^t - \lambda_j^t - 2 & \text{if } i < j. \end{cases}$$

The *symplectic Schur function* corresponding to λ is computed by the determinantal formula [3]

$$sp_\lambda(x_1,\dots,x_n) = \frac{\det\left(x_j^{\lambda_i+n-i+1} - x_j^{-\lambda_i-n+i-1}\right)}{\det\left(x_j^{n-i+1} - x_j^{-n+i-1}\right)}.$$

Interesting results such as Stanley's [8, Cor. 7.21.4] hook-content formula $s_\lambda(1^n) = \prod_{u\in\lambda} \frac{n+c_u}{h_u}$ find their symplectic counterpart [2, Cor. 9]

$$sp_\lambda(1^n) = \prod_{u\in\lambda} \frac{2n + c_{sp}(u)}{h(u)}.$$

Proposition 6.1 *We have the identity*

$$\sum_{\lambda\vdash n} \prod_{u\in\lambda} \frac{c_{sp}(u)}{h(u)} = \sum_{\lambda\vdash n} \prod_{u\in\lambda} \frac{c_O(u)}{h(u)}.$$

Proof. This is immediate from the definitions of c_{sp}, c_O and the fact that $\sum_{\lambda\vdash n} = \sum_{\lambda^t\vdash n}$. $\square$

Conjecture 6.2(a) *We have the generating function*

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} x^n \sum_{\lambda\vdash n} \prod_{u\in\lambda} \frac{t+c_{sp}(u)}{h(u)} = \prod_{j\geq 1} \frac{(1-x^{8j})^{\binom{t+1}{2}}}{(1-x^{8j-2})^{\binom{t+1}{2}-1}} \left(\frac{1-x^{4j-1}}{1-x^{4j-3}}\right)^t \left(\frac{1-x^{8j-4}}{1-x^{8j-6}}\right)^{\binom{t}{2}-1}.$$

Conjecture 6.2(b) *We have the generating function*

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} x^n \sum_{\lambda\vdash n} \prod_{u\in\lambda} \frac{t+c_{O}(u)}{h(u)} = \prod_{j\geq 1} \frac{(1-x^{8j})^{\binom{t}{2}}}{(1-x^{8j-6})^{\binom{t}{2}-1}} \left(\frac{1-x^{4j-1}}{1-x^{4j-3}}\right)^t \left(\frac{1-x^{8j-4}}{1-x^{8j-2}}\right)^{\binom{t+1}{2}-1}.$$

Conjecture 6.2(c) *The specialization at $t=0$ gives*

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} x^n \sum_{\lambda\vdash n} \prod_{u\in\lambda} \frac{c_{sp}(u)}{h(u)} = \sum_{n\geq 0} x^n \sum_{\lambda\vdash n} \prod_{u\in\lambda} \frac{c_{O}(u)}{h(u)} = \prod_{j\geq 1} \frac{1}{1+x^{4j-2}}.$$

Conjecture 6.3(a) *We have a symplectic/orthogonal "content Nekrasov-Okounkov formula":*

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} x^n \sum_{\lambda\vdash n} \prod_{u\in\lambda} \frac{t+c_{sp}^2(u)}{h^2(u)} = \sum_{n\geq 0} x^n \sum_{\lambda\vdash n} \prod_{u\in\lambda} \frac{t+c_{O}^2(u)}{h^2(u)} = \prod_{j\geq 1} \frac{1}{1-x^{4j-2}} \prod_{j\geq 1} \frac{1}{(1-x^j)^t}.$$

Conjecture 6.3(b) *The specialization at $t=0$ gives*

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} x^n \sum_{\lambda\vdash n} \prod_{u\in\lambda} \frac{c_{sp}^2(u)}{h^2(u)} = \sum_{n\geq 0} x^n \sum_{\lambda\vdash n} \prod_{u\in\lambda} \frac{c_{O}^2(u)}{h^2(u)} = \prod_{j\geq 1} \frac{1}{1-x^{4j-2}}.$$

Conjecture 6.3(c) *Let $\delta_n = (-1)^{\binom{n}{2}}$. Combining conjectures 6.2(c) and 6.3(b), we have the identity*

$$\delta_n \sum_{\lambda\vdash n} f_\lambda^2 \prod_{u\in\lambda} c_{sp}^2(u) = n! \sum_{\lambda\vdash n} f_\lambda \prod_{u\in\lambda} c_{sp}(u).$$

7 DIRECTIVES and UPDATES

Enough attempt has been made in recording an accurate account of the results and problems in the present form of this manuscript. However, the author appreciates any relevant information on the literature, lemmas or conjectures. These notes will undergo continual changes as more news come; all such matters (proper documentation, acknowledgment, progress, etc) will be made available at

`http:www.math.tulane.edu/~tamdeberhan/conjectures.html`

Here are a few pointers for some of the conjectures and problems.

For Conjecture 1.8, we are certain that a generating function maneuvering is within reach; for an interesting combinatorial approach to emulate see [4].

In Conjecture 2.1, the middle two are immediate from [5] that $\sum_n x^n \prod_{u\in\lambda} \frac{t+h_u^2}{h_u^2} = \prod_j (1-x^i)^{-t-1}$; and the extreme-left and right sides are equal by duality. The main wish here is to prove the rest; a combinatorial argument is strongly desired.

For Problem 5.3, see [6] for a possible technique on a similar result.

REFERENCES

[1] K Carde, J Loubert, A Potechin, A Sanborn, *Proof of Han's Hook Expansion Conjecture*, `arXiv:0808.0928`.

[2] P S Campbell, A Stokke, *Hook-content formulae for symplectic and orthogonal tableaux*, `arXiv:0710.4155`.

[3] W Fulton, J Harris, *Representation Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York/Berlin **129** (1991).

[4] G Han, Z Lin, J Zeng, *A symmetrical q-Eulerian identity*, `arXiv:1201.4941`.

[5] N A Nekrasov, A Okounkov, *"Seiberg-Witten theory and random partitions, in The unity of mathematics," Progress in Mathematics*, Birkhäuser Boston, 2006, **244**, 525-596.

[6] G Panova, *Polynomiality of some hook-length statistics*, `arXiv:0811.3463`.

[7] R P Stanley, *Some combinatorial properties of hook lengths, contents, and parts of partitions*, Ramanujan J **23 #1-3** (2010), 91-105.

[8] R P Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics, Vol. 2*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge **62** (1999).